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Introduction
"optimization : an act, process, or methodology of making something (such as a design, system, or decision) as fully perfect, functional, or effective as possible; specifically: the mathematical procedures (such as finding the maximum of a function) involved in this."

- Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary, 2017 (*)

Forms of optimization (cf. Netspeak: "? optimization"):

- Code / program / system optimization
- Search / website / server ... optimization
- Business / process / chain ... optimization
- Engine / design / production optimization
(*) First Known Use: 1857


## Mathematical Optimization

A mathematical optimization problem consists of maximizing (or minimizing) a real objective function on a defined domain:

Given a set $A \subseteq R^{n}$ and a function $f: A \rightarrow R$ from $A$ to the real numbers, find an element $x_{0} \in A$ such that $f\left(x_{0}\right) \leq f(x)$ for all $x$ in an environment of $x_{0}$.

Typical problems:

- finding an optimum will be computationally expensive
- different types of objective functions and domains
- need to compute the optimum with very high accuracy
- need to find a global optimum, restricted resources
- etc.
- Unconstrained optimization
- Nonlinear least-squares fitting (parameter estimation)
- Optimization with constraints
- Non-smooth optimization (e.g., minimax problems)
- Global optimization (stochastic programming)
- Linear and quadratic programming (LP, QP)
- Convex optimization (resp. SOCP, SDP)
- Mixed-integer programming (MIP, MILP, MINLP)
- Combinatorial optimization (e.g., graph problems)


## 100+ Packages on the Optimization TV
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- Maximum Likelihood
- Parameter estimation
- Quantile and density estimation
- LASSO estimation
- Robust regression
- Nonlinear equations
- Geometric programming problems
- Deep Learning / Support Vector Machines
- Engineering and Design, e.g. optimal control
- Operations Research, e.g. network flow problems
- Economics, e.g. portfolio optimization


## Goals for this Talk

- Overview of (large, rapidly changing, still incomplete) set of tools for solving optimization problems in R
- Appreciation of the types of problems and types of methods to solve them
- Advice on setting up problems and solvers
- Suggestions for interpreting results
- Some almost real-world examples

Unfortunately, there is no time to talk about the new and exciting developments in convex optimization and optimization modelling languages.

## Unconstrained Optimization

Univariate (1-dim.) Minimization

```
optimize(f = , interval = , ..., lower = min(interval),
    upper = max(interval), maximum = FALSE,
    tol = .Machine$double.eps`0.25)
optim(par = , fn = , gr = NULL, ...,
    method = "Brent",
    lower = -Inf, upper = Inf)
optimizeR(f, lower, upper, ..., tol = 1e-20,
    method = c("Brent", "GoldenRatio"),
    maximum = FALSE,
    precFactor = 2.0, precBits = -log2(tol) * precFactor,
    maxiter = 1000, trace = FALSE)
```

1-dimensional Example

```
f <- function(x) exp(-0.5*x) * sin(10*pi*x)
curve(f, 0, 1, n = 200, col=4); grid()
opt <- optimize(f, c(0, 1))
points(opt$minimum, opt$objective, pch = 20, col = 2)
```


optim() and Friends

```
optim(par, fn, gr = NULL, ...,
    method = c("Nelder-Mead", "BFGS", "CG", "L-BFGS-B",
                "SANN", "Brent"),
    lower = -Inf, upper = Inf,
    control = list(), hessian = FALSE)
```

Methods / Algorithms:

- Nelder-Mead - downhill simplex method
- BFGS - "variable metric" quasi-Newton method
- CG - conjugate gradient method
- L-BFGS-B - Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shannon
- Brent - univariate minimization, same as optimize
- SANN - Simulated Annealing [don't use !]


## Nelder-Mead

Nelder-Mead iteratively generates a sequence of simplices to approximate a minimal point.

At each iteration, the vertices of the simplex are ordered according to their objective function values and the simplex 'distorted' accordingly.

- Sort function values on simplex
- Reflect compute the reflection point
- Expand compute the expansion point
- Contract (outside | inside)
- Shrink the simplex

Stop when the simplex is small enough ('tolerance').

## Nelder-Mead in Action

Nelder-Mead Simplex search over Banana Function


Figure 1: Source: de.wikipedia.org

## Showcase Rosenbrock

As a showcase we use the Rosenbrock function, defined for $n \geq 2$. It has has a very flat valley leading to its minimal point.

$$
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=2}^{n}\left[100\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(1-x_{i}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

The global minimum obviously is $(1, \ldots, 1)$ with value 0 .

```
fnRosenbrock <- function (x) {
    n <- length(x)
    x1 <- x[2:n]; x2 <- x[1:(n - 1)]
    sum(100*(x1 - x2^2)^2 + (1 - x2)^2)
}
```

Available in package adagio as fnRosenbrock() with exact gradient grRosenbrock().

```
optim() w/ Nelder-Mead
```

    fn <- adagio::fnRosenbrock; gr <- adagio::grRosenbrock
    sol <- optim(rep(0, 2), fn, gr, control=list(reltol=1e-12) ;
    sol\$par
    \#\# [1] 0.9999996 0.9999992
    fn <- adagio::fnRosenbrock; gr <- adagio::grRosenbrock
    sol <- optim(rep(0, 10), fn, gr,
        control=list(reltol=1e-12, maxit=10000))
    sol\$par; sol\$counts
    \#\# [1] 0.4876501050 .2187475550 .0747724740 .0080693530 .1
    \#\# [6] 0.037545739 0.0136959220 .0272843220 .0231476460 .1
    \#\# function gradient
    \#\# 9707 NA
    Nelder-Mead Solvers

```
- dfoptim
nmk(par, fn, control = list(), ...)
nmkb(par, fn, lower=-Inf, upper=Inf,
    control = list(), ...)
```

- adagio
neldermead (fn, x0, ..., adapt = TRUE,
tol $=1 \mathrm{e}-10$, maxfeval $=10000$,
step $=\operatorname{rep}(1.0$, length (x0)))
- pracma [new]
anms(fn, x0, ...,
tol $=1 \mathrm{e}-10$, maxfeval $=$ NULL)


## Adaptive Nelder-Mead

anms in pracma implements a new (Gao and Han, 2012) adaptive
Nelder-Mead algorithm, adapting to the size of the problem (i.e., dimension of the objective function).
fn <- adagio::fnRosenbrock
pracma: :anms(fn, $\operatorname{rep}(0,20)$, tol $=1 e-12$, maxfeval = 25000.
\#\# \$xmin
\#\# [1] $101 \begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$
\#\#
\#\# \$fmin
\#\# [1] 5.073655e-25
\#\#
\#\# \$nfeval
\#\# [1] 22628

Exploiting the direction of "steepest descent" as computed by the negative gradient $-\nabla f(x)$ of a multivariate function.

- Steepest descent
$d_{k}=-\nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)$
- Conjugate Gradient (GC)
$d_{k}=-\nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)+\beta_{k} d_{k-1}, d_{0}=-\nabla f\left(x_{0}\right)$, e.g., $\beta_{k}=\frac{\left\|\nabla f\left(x_{k+1}\right)\right\|}{\left\|\nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)\right\|}$
(Fletcher and Reeves).
- BFGS and L-BFGS-B
$d_{k}=-H_{f}\left(x_{k}\right)^{-1} \nabla f\left(x_{k}\right), H_{f}(x)$ Hessian of $f$ in $x$.


## Line Searches

Given a function $f: R^{n} \rightarrow R$ and a direction $d \in R^{n}$, a line search method approximately minimizes $f$ along the line $\{x+t d \mid t \in R\}$.
Armijo-Goldstein inequality: $0<c, \nu<1$

$$
f\left(x_{0}+t^{*} d\right) \leq f\left(x_{0}\right)+c \nu^{k} f^{\prime}\left(x_{0} ; d\right), \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

(Weak) Wolf condition: $0<c_{1}<c_{2}<1$

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(x_{k}+t_{k} d_{k}\right) \leq f\left(x_{k}\right)+c_{1} t_{k} f^{\prime}\left(x_{k} ; d_{k}\right) \\
c_{2} f^{\prime}\left(x_{k} ; d_{k}\right) \leq f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}+t_{k} d_{k} ; g_{k}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Rosenbrock with Line Search

Steepest descent direction vs. BFGS direction
Wolfe line search these two directions

Wolfe line search


The Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS) algorithm
Iteration: While $\left\|\nabla f_{k}\right\|>\epsilon$ do

- compute the search direction: $d_{k}=-H_{k} \nabla f_{k}$
- proceed with line search: $x_{k+1}=x_{k}+\alpha d_{k}$
- Update approximate Hessian inverse: $H_{k+1} \approx H_{f}\left(x_{k+1}\right)^{-1}$

L-BFGS - low-memory BFGS stores matrix $H_{k}$ in $O(n)$ storage.
BFGS-B - BFGS with bound constraints ('active set' approach).
optim() w/ BFGS

```
optim(rep(0, 20), fn, gr, method = "BFGS",
    control=list(reltol=1e-12, maxit=1000))\$par
```

\#\# [1] $1 \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$
optim(rep(0, 20), fn, method = "L-BFGS-B",
control=list (factr=1e-12, maxit=1000))\$par \# factr.
\#\# [1] 0.9999987 0.99999840 .99999820 .99999810 .9999980 (
\#\# [8] 0.9999979 0.9999977 0.99999740 .99999690 .9999958 (
\#\# [15] 0.9999797 0.99996130 .99992430 .99985000 .9997011 (
optim(rep(0, 20), fn, gr, method = "L-BFGS-B", \# works.
control=list(factr=1e-12, maxit=1000))\$par


Best optim() usage

```
optim(par, fn, gr = function(x) pracma::grad(fn, x), ...,
    method = "L-BFGS-B"",
    lower = -Inf, upper = Inf,
    control = list(factr = 1e-10,
                maxit = 50*length(par)))
```

    - use only method = "L-BFGS-B"
            (faster, more accurate, less memory, bound constraints)
    - use factr \(=1 \mathrm{e}-10\) for tolerance, default 1 e 07
    - set maxit \(=50 *\) d.. . \(50 * d^{\wedge} 2\) (default is 100 )
    - use dfoptim or pracma for gradients
        (if you don't have an analytical or exact gradient)
    - look carefully at the output


## More BFGS Packages

- Ibfgsb3 interfaces the Nocedal et al. ‘L-BFGS-B.3.0’ (2011) (FORTRAN) minimizer with bound constraints.

BUT: Options like "maximum number of function calls" are not accessible. (And the result is returned as 'invisible'.)

```
sol <- lbfgsb3(par, fn, gr = NULL, lower=-Inf, upper=If
```

sol

- Ibfgs interfaces the 'libBFGS' C library by Okazaki with Wolfe line search (based on Nocedal).

BUT: Bound constraints are not accessible through the API.

```
lbfgs(fn, gr, par, invisible=1)
```


## More quasi-Newton type Algorithms

- stats::nlm [don't ever use!]
- stats::nlminb [PORT routine]
nlminb(start, objective, gradient $=$ NULL, hessian $=$ NUI

```
scale = 1, control = list(), lower = -Inf, uppe`
```

- trustOptim::trust.optim [trust-region approach]
no linesearch, suitable for sparse Hessians

```
trust.optim(x, fn, gr, hs = NULL, control = list(),
    method = c("SR1", "BFGS", "Sparse"), ...)
```

- ucminf::ucminf [BFGS + line search + trust region]
ucminf(par, fn, gr = NULL, ..., control = list(), hessj
ucminf with Rosenbrock
fn <- adagio::fnRosenbrock; gr <- adagio::grRosenbrock
sol <- ucminf::ucminf(rep(0, 100), fn, gr, control=list(max
list(par=sol\$par, value = sol\$value, conv = sol\$conv, mess
\#\# \$par
\#\# [1] $1011 \begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array} 1$

\#\# [71] $1 \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllll} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$
\#\#
\#\# \$value
\#\# [1] 1.223554e-15
\#\#
\#\# \$conv
\#\# [1] 1
\#\#
\#\# \$mess
\#\# [1] "Stopped by small gradient (grtol)."


## More John Nash Work

Thorough implementation of quasi-Newton solvers in pure R.

- Rcgmin ("conjugate gradient"")
- Rvmmin ("variable metric"")
- Rtnmin ("truncated Newton")

Apply, test, and compare different nonlinear optimization solvers for smooth, possibly bound constrained multivariate functions:

- optimx, optimr, or optimrx?
optimrx::opm(rep(0, 10), fnRosenbrock, grRosenbrock, method = "ALL")

Comparison of Nonlinear Solvers

| method | value | fevals | gevals | convd | xtime |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BFGS | $3.127628 \mathrm{e}-21$ | 291 | 98 | 0 | 0.003 |
| CG | $1.916095 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 1107 | 408 | 0 | 0.010 |
| Nelder-Mead | $8.147198 \mathrm{e}+00$ | 1501 | NA | 1 | 0.008 |
| L-BFGS-B | $5.124035 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 78 | 78 | 0 | 0.001 |
| nlm | $4.342036 \mathrm{e}-13$ | NA | 55 | 0 | 0.002 |
| nlminb | $4.243607 \mathrm{e}-18$ | 121 | 97 | 0 | 0.002 |
| lbfgsb3 | $5.124035 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 78 | 78 | 0 | 0.029 |
| Rcgmin | $3.656125 \mathrm{e}-19$ | 300 | 136 | 0 | 0.004 |
| Rtnmin | 5.403094e-13 | 105 | 105 | 0 | 0.013 |
| Rvmmin | $2.935561 \mathrm{e}-27$ | 116 | 72 | 0 | 0.007 |
| ucminf | $1.470165 \mathrm{e}-15$ | 77 | 77 | 0 | 0.002 |
| newuoa | $3.614733 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 1814 | NA | 0 | 0.022 |
| bobyqa | $6.939585 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 2142 | NA | 0 | 0.025 |
| nmkb | $9.099242 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 1500 | NA | 1 | 0.083 |
| hjkb | $8.436900 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 4920 | NA | 0 | 0.033 |
| lbfgs | $9.962100 \mathrm{e}-13$ | NA | NA | 0 | 0.001 |

## Excurse: Computing Gradients

- manually
- symbolically: package Deriv
- numerically: packages numDeriv or pracma

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { gr <- function(x) numDeriv::grad(fn, x) \# simple, or: } \\
& \text { gr <- function(x) pracma::grad(fn, x, heps=6e-06) \# ct }
\end{aligned}
$$

- complex step derivation

```
gr <- function(x) pracma::grad_csd(fn, x)
```

- automated differentiation [not yet available]

Central-difference Formula

```
\(\nabla f(x)=\left(\frac{f(x)}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{f(x)}{\partial x_{n}}\right) \quad\) and \(\quad \frac{d f(x)}{d x}(x) \approx \frac{f(x+h)-f(x-h)}{2 \cdot h}\)
pracma: :grad
function (f, \(x 0\), heps \(=\).Machine\$double.eps^(1/3), ...)
\{
    \# [...input checking...]
    n <- length (x0)
    hh <- rep(0, n)
    gr <- numeric(n)
    for (i in 1:n) \{
        hh[i] <- heps
        \(\operatorname{gr}[i]<-(f(x 0+h h)-f(x 0-h h)) /(2 * h e p s)\)
        hh [i] <- 0
        \}
        return(gr)
\}
```

Optimization with Constraints

- box/bound constraints: $l_{i} \leq x_{i} \leq u_{i}$ [trick: the 'transfinite' approach]
- linear inequality constraints: $A x \leq 0$
- linear equality constraints: $A x=b$ [trick: the 'hyperplane' approach]
- quadratic constraints
- inequality constraints in general
- equality and inequality constraints


## The 'transfinite' Trick

If the solver does not support bound constraints $I_{i} \leq x_{i} \leq u_{i}$, the transfinite approach will do the trick.
Generate a smooth (surjective) function $h: R^{n} \rightarrow\left[I_{i}, u_{i}\right]$, e.g.

$$
h: x_{i} \rightarrow l_{i}+\left(u_{i}-l_{i}\right) / 2 \cdot\left(1+\tanh \left(x_{i}\right)\right)
$$

and optimize the composite function $g(x)=f(h(x))$, i.e.

$$
\begin{gathered}
g: R^{n} \rightarrow\left[I_{i}, u_{i}\right] \rightarrow R \\
x^{*}=\operatorname{argmin}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{x}))
\end{gathered}
$$

then $x_{\min }=h\left(x^{*}\right)$ will be a minimum of $f$ in $\left[I_{i}, u_{i}\right]$.

Example: 'Transfinite’ Approach
Minimize the Rosenbrock function in 10 dimensions with
$0 \leq x_{i} \leq 0.5$.
Tf <- adagio::transfinite (0, 0.5, 10)
h <- Tf\$h; hinv <- Tf\$hinv
p0 <- rep(0.25, 10)
$\mathrm{f}<-\mathrm{function}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{fn}(\mathrm{hinv}(\mathrm{x}))$ \# $f: R$ n $-->R$
g <- function(x) pracma::grad(f, x)
sol <- lbfgs::lbfgs(f, g, p0, epsilon=1e-10, invisible=1)
hinv(sol\$par); sol\$value
\#\# [1] $0.50000000000 .26306598270 .08003111370 .016574234:$
\#\# [6] $0.01021200520 .01020841080 .01020421210 .010004085($
\#\# [1] 7.594813

## Linear Inequality Constraints

Optimization with linear constraints only: $A x \geq 0$ (or $A x \leq 0$ )

```
constrOptim(theta, f, grad, ui, ci, mu = 1e-04, contro:
    method = if(is.null(grad)) "Nelder-Mead" e:
    outer.iterations = 100, outer.eps = 1e-05,
    hessian = FALSE)
```

- ui $\% * \%$ theta - ci $>=0$ corresponds to $A x \geq 0$
- Bounds formulated as linear constraints (even $x_{i} \geq 0$ )
- theta must be in the interior of the feasible region
- Inner iteration still calls optim

Recommendation: Do not use constrOptim. Instead, use an 'augmented Lagrangian' solver, e.g. alabama:: auglag.

## Trick: Linear Equality Constraints

Task: $\quad \min !f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \quad$ s.t. $A x=b$
Let $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}$ be a basis of the nullspace of $A$, i.e. $A b_{i}=0$, and $x_{0}$ a special solution $A x_{0}=b$. Define a new function $g\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}\right)=f\left(x_{0}+s_{1} b_{1}+\ldots+s_{m} b_{m}\right)$ and solve this as a minimization problem without constraints:

$$
s=\operatorname{argmin} g\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}\right)
$$

Then $x$ min $=x_{0}+s_{1} b_{1}+\ldots+s_{m} b_{m}$ is a (local) minimum.
xmin <- lineqOptim(rep(0, 3), fnRosenbrock, grRosenbror
Aeq $=c(1,1,1)$, beq $=1)$
xmin
[1] 0.57136510 .32635190 .1022830

## Example: Linear Equality

```
A <- matrix(1, 1, 10)
N <- pracma::nullspace(A) # size 10 9
    # x1 + ... + xn = 1
x0 <- qr.solve(A, 1) # A x = 1
fun <- function(x) fn(x0 + N %*% x) # length(x) = 9
sol <- ucminf::ucminf(rep(0, 9), fun)
xmin <- c(x0 + N %*% sol$par)
xmin; sum(xmin)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
\#\# & {\([1]\)} & 0.559312323 & 0.314864715 & 0.102103618 & \(0.01369578 ؛\) \\
\#\# & {\([6]\)} & 0.003318010 & 0.003316801 & 0.003316309 & \(0.00325210 ؛\)
\end{tabular}
## [1] 1
```

fn(xmin)

## Augmented Lagrangian Approach

Task: $\quad \min !f(x)$ s.t. $g_{i}(x) \geq 0, h_{j}(x)=0$
Define the augmented Lagrangian function $L$ as

$$
L(x, \lambda ; \mu)=f(x)-\sum_{j} \lambda_{j} h_{j}(x)+\frac{1}{2 \mu} \sum_{j} h_{j}^{2}(x)
$$

The inequality constraints $g_{i}(x) \geq 0$ are included by introducing slack variables $s_{i}$ and replacing the inequality constraints with

$$
g_{i}(x)-s_{i}=0, \quad s_{i} \geq 0
$$

The bound constraints are treated differently (e.g., through the LANCELOT algorithm).

## Augmented Lagrangian Solvers

## - alabama

auglag(par, fn, gr, hin, hin.jac, heq, heq.jac, control.outer=list(), control.optim = list(), ..

## - NLoptr

```
auglag(x0, fn, gr = NULL, lower = NULL, upper = NULL,
    hin = NULL, hinjac = NULL, heq = NULL, heqjac =
    localsolver = c("COBYLA"), localtol = 1e-6, in\epsilon
    nl.info = FALSE, control = list(), ...)
```

- Rsolnp
- NIcOptim (Sequential Quadratic programming, SQP)
- Rdonlp2 (removed from CRAN, see R-Forge's Rmetrics)


## Example with alabama: :auglag

Minimize the Rosenbrock function with constraints
$x_{1}+\ldots+x_{n}=1$ and $0 \leq x_{i} \leq 1$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$.
fheq <- function(x) sum(x) - 1
fhin <- function(x) c(x)
sol <- alabama::auglag(rep(0, 10), fn, gr, heq = fheq, hin control.outer $=$ list $\left(\right.$ trace $=$ FALSE, method $="_{1}$
print(sol\$par, digits=5)

| \#\# | $[1]$ | $5.5707 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $3.1236 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $1.0052 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $1.3367 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| \#\# | $[6]$ | $3.3082 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $3.3071 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $3.3069 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $3.2854 \mathrm{e}-03$ | -7 |

sum (sol\$par)
\#\# [1] 1

## The nloptr Package (NLopt Library)

- COBYLA (Constrained Optimization By Linear Approximation)
cobyla(x0, fn, lower = NULL, upper $=$ NULL, hin $=$ NULL, nl.info = FALSE, control = list(), ...)
- slsqp (Sequential Quadratic Programming, SQP)
slsqp(x0, fn, gr = NULL, lower = NULL, upper = NULL, hin = NULL, hinjac = NULL, heq = NULL, heqjac = NULL, nl.info $=$ FALSE, control $=$ list(), ...)
- auglag (Augmented Lagrangian)
auglag(x0, fn, gr = NULL, lower = NULL, upper = NULL, hin = NULL, hinjac = NULL, heq = NULL, heqjac = localsolver = c("COBYLA", "LBFGS", "MMA", "SLSC nl.info = FALSE, control = list(), ...)


## Quadratic Optimization

## Quadratic Programming

Quadratic Programming (QP) is the problem of optimizing a quadratic expression of several variables subject to linear constraints.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Minimize } & \frac{1}{2} x^{\top} Q x+c^{T} x \\
\text { s.t. } & A x \leq b
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Q$ is a symmetric, positive (semi-)definite $n \times n$-matrix, $c$ an $n$-dim. vector, $A$ an $m \times n$-matrix, and $b$ an $m$-dim. vector.

For some solvers, linear equality constraints are also allowed.
Example: The enclosing ball problem

## Quadratic Solvers

Standard solver for quadratic problems in R is solve. QP in package quadprog. The matrix $Q$ has to be positive definite.
solve.QP(Dmat, dvec, Amat, bvec, meq=0, factorized=FALs

| Package | Function | Matrix | Timings |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| quadprog | solve.QP | pdef | 1 |
| kernlab | ipop | spdef | 50 |
| LowRankQP | LowRankQP | spdef | 2 |
| DWD | solve_QP_SOCP | pdef | 9500 |
| coneproj | qprog | pdef | - |

Nonsmooth Optimization

Functions defined as maximum are not smooth and cannot be optimized through a straightforward gradient-based approach.
Task: $\quad \min !f(x)=\max \left(f_{1}(x), \ldots, f_{m}(x)\right)$
Instead, define a smooth function $g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)=x_{n+1}$ and minimze it under constraints

$$
x_{n+1} \geq f_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \quad \text { for all } i=1, \ldots, m
$$

The solution $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)$ returns the minimum point $x \min =\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ as well as the minimal value $f \min =x_{n+1}$.
[Cf. the example in Chapter ?? in the bookdown text.]

A linear least-squares (LS) problem means solving min $\|A x=b\|_{2}$, possibly with bounds or linear constraints.

The function qr.solve(A, b) from Base R solves over- and underdetermined linear systems in the least-squares sense.

- nnls (Lawson-Hansen algorithm)
linear LS with non-negative/-positive constraints
- bvls (Stark-Parker algorithm)
linear LS with bound constraints $I \leq x \leq u$
- pracma::1sqlincon(A, b, ...)
linear LS with linear equality and inequality constraints (applies a quadratic solver)


## Nonlinear Least-squares

The standard nonlinear LS estimator for model parameter, given some data, in Base $R$ is:

```
nls(formula, data, start, control, algorithm[="plinear
    trace, subset, weights, na.action, model,
    lower, upper, ...)
```


## Problems:

too small or zero residuals

- "singular gradient" error message (R-help, Stackoverflow)
- too many local minima, proper starting point (cf. nls2 with random or grid-based start points)
- bounds require the 'port' algorithm (Port library) (recommended anyway)


## Stabilized' Nonlinear LS

Modern nonlinear LS solvers use the Levenberg-Marquardt method (not Gauss-Newton) to minimize sums of squares.

## - minpack.lm

```
nlsLM(formula, data = parent.frame(), start, jac = NULI
    algorithm = "LM", control = nls.lm.control(),
    lower = NULL, upper = NULL, trace = FALSE, ...)
```

- nlmrt
nlxb(formula, start, trace=FALSE, data, lower=-Inf, upt masked=NULL, control, ...)

Cf. also pracma::Isqnonlin(fun, $\times 0$, options $=\operatorname{list}(), \ldots$ )

## Tip: Rosenbrock as LS Problem

Redefine Rosenbrock as vector-value function:

```
fn <- function(x) {
    n <- length(x)
    x1 <- x[2:n]; x2 <- x[1:(n - 1)]
    c(10*(x1 - x2`2), 1 - x2)
}
```

and now apply pracma's lsqnonlin:

```
    lsqnonlin(fn, rep(0, 20))
    ## $x
    ## [1] 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
    ## $ssq
    ## [1] 3.037124e-19
```

Quantile Regression
Median (or: $L^{1}$ ) Regression: $\min !\sum|y-A x|$
(aka "least absolute deviation"" (LAD) regression)

- quantreg
rq(formula, tau $=0.5$, data, subset, weights, na.action, method = "br", model = TRUE, contrasts, ...)
- pracma

L1linreg(A, b, p = 1, tol $=1 \mathrm{e}-07$, maxiter $=200$ )
solves the linear system $A x=b$ in an $L^{p}$ sense, i.e. minimizes the term $\sum|b-A x|^{p}$ (for $0<p \leq 1$ ) by applying an
"iteratively reweighted least square" (IRLS) method.

## DE Solvers

Differential Evolution (DE) is a relatively simple genetic algorithm variant, specialized for real-valued functions (10-20 dims).

## - DEoptim

DEoptim(fn, lower, upper,

```
control = DEoptim.control(trace = FALSE), ..., fnMa
```

- RcppDE

DEoptim(fn, lower, upper, control = DEoptim.control(),

- DEoptimR

JDEoptim(lower, upper, fn,
constr $=$ NULL, meq $=0$, eps $=1 \mathrm{e}-05, \mathrm{NP}=10 * \mathrm{~d}[$, .

## CMA-ES Solvers

Covariance Matrix Adaptation - Evolution Strategy (CMA-ES) is an evolutionary algorithm for continuous optimization problems (adapting the covariance matrix). It is quite difficult to implement, but is applicable to dimensions up to 50 or more.

- Packages that contain CMA-ES solvers:
cmaes
cmaesr
rCMA
parma::cmaes
Rmalschains
adagio::pureCMAES

```
pureCMAES(par, fun, lower = NULL, upper = NULL, sigma =
        stopfitness = -Inf, stopeval = 1000*length(pe
```

- Simulated Annealing (SA) GenSA
- Genetic Algorithms (GA)

GA, genalg, SOMA, rgenoud

- Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)
pso, psoptim, hydroPSO
NMOF: DEopt, GAopt, PSopt
NLoptr: crs2lm, direct, mlsl, isres, stogo


## The gloptim Package

Package gloptim incorporates and compares 25 stochastic solvers
The following is a typical output, here only showing the results of CMA-ES and DE solvers for the 'Runge' problem:

| solver | package | fmin | time |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| purecmaes | adagio | 0.06546780 | 43.583 |
| cmaes | parma | 0.06546780 | 23.523 |
| cmaoptim | rCMA | 0.06546780 | 91.257 |
| malschains | Rmalschains | 0.06546781 | 76.457 |
| deopt | NMOF | 0.06546876 | 75.809 |
| deoptimr | DEoptimR | 0.06549435 | 57.712 |
| simplede | adagio | 0.06573988 | 84.000 |
| cma_es | cmaes | 0.07430865 | 7.208 |
| cmaes | cmaesr | 0.07503498 | 8.305 |
|  |  |  |  |
| cppdeoptim | RcppDE | 6.82525344 | 17.050 |
| deoptim | DEoptim | 7.28454226 | 39.287 |

## Future Developments

```
ROI - R Optimization Infrastructure
    Available Plugins:
    glpk, symphony, quadprog, ipop, ecos, scs, nloptr, cplex, ...
library(ROI); library(ROI.plugin.glpk) # ..
v <- c(15, 100, 90, 60, 40, 15, 10, 1)
w <- c( 2, 20, 20, 30, 40, 30, 60, 10)
mat <- matrix(w, nrow = 1)
con <- L_constraint(L = mat, dir = "<=", rhs = 105)
pro <- OP(objective = v, constraints = con,
    types = rep("B", 8), maximum = TRUE)
ROI_applicable_solvers(pro) # [1] "clp" "glpk"...
sol <- ROI_solve(pro, solver = "ecos")
## Optimal solution found.
## The objective value is: 2.800000e+02
```


## CVXR

CVXR provides an R modeling language for convex optimization problems (announced UseR!2016, not yet ready).

Example: Estimating a discrete distribution, e.g.
$\max !\quad \sum_{i=1}^{m}-w_{i} \log w_{i}$
s.t. $\quad w_{i} \geq 0, \quad \sum w_{i}=1, \quad X^{\top} w=b$
library (CVXR)
w <- Variable(m)
obj <- SumEntries(Entr(w)) \# entropy function
constr <- list(w >= 0, SumEntries(w) == 1, t(X) \%*\% w == b)
pro <- Problem(Maximize(obj), constr)
sol <- solve(pro)
sol\$w

## Using Julia Solvers

Ipopt (Interior Point OPTimizer) is a software package for large-scale nonlinear optimization (with nonlinear equality and inequality constraints).

- difficult to install (extra components needed)
- ECLIPSE license (not allowed on CRAN?)

There is an easy-to-install lpopt.jl package for Julia.
With the R packages $X R$ and XRJulia (John Chambers, 2016)
it will be possible to utilize this with a new R package ipoptjlr.
library(ipoptjlr)
julia_setup("path_to_julia")
IPOPT(x, x_L, x_U, g_L, g_U, eval_f, eval_g, eval_grad_f, jac_g1, jac_g2, h1, h2)

Using the NEOS Solvers
"The NEOS Server https://neos-server.org/neos/ is a free internet-based service for solving numerical optimization problems.
[It] provides access to more than 60 state-of-the-art [free and commercial] solvers."
rneos: XML-RPC Interface to NEOS

```
# submit job to the NEOS solver
neosjob <- NsubmitJob(xmlstring, user = "hwb", interface =
    id = 8237, nc = CreateNeosComm())
neosjob
# The job number is: 3838832
# The pass word is : wBgHomLT
# getting info about job
NgetJobInfo(neosjob) # "nco" "MINOS" "AMPL"
NgetFinalResults(neosjob)
```

Epilogue
"What can go wrong?"

- Modell, constraints, gradients, ..
- Local: bad starting values Global: no guaranteed optimum
- Applying appropriate solvers
- Setting solver controls
- Special problems, e.g.

Non-smooth objective functions, noise, ..

- Understanding solver output (and error messages)
convergence, accuracy, no. of loops and function calls
- Checking results
"Most methods work most of the time." - John Nash
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